

Message

From: Gulluni, Anthony (WES) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MASSMAIL-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=AGULLUNI]
Sent: 5/28/2010 6:07:07 PM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH) [/O=COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS/OU=MassMail-01/cn=Recipients/cn=Sonja.Farak]
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

Funny! I just got out of the courtroom after the motion was ALLOWED by agreement. I talked with some other (more knowledgeable than me) prosecutors and I really had no grounds to object. So, as much as I didn't want to agree, I did. We have to provide: 1) your CV, 2) any notes/reports generated from the analysis (including those coming from the spectrometer (I don't think that's the right spelling) and other machines used), and 3) your protocol for Class D analyses.

I, too, noticed the other cert, but [REDACTED] are only charged with a Class D offense, so I would suggest you ignore that, but I'll take a second look at the file.

Otherwise, knowing this defense attorney, if there's a judge to facilitate a trial, I would expect it to go. So, I guess be ready!

The trial is June 1, so if you could get everything to me as soon as you can, it'd be great. Thanks for all your help and attention to this. Talk soon,

Tony

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 10:51 AM
To: Gulluni, Anthony (WES)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

Should I assume that the motion was not allowed and that i am still on call for 6/1? Or do you need me to get you a copy of my notes? Also, I noticed that there was another lab submission besides [REDACTED] with the same defenants listed - [REDACTED]. Is that also part of this case? Thanks.

Sonja

From: Gulluni, Anthony (WES)
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 5:35 PM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

Great. So I'll consider you "on call" for 6/1. With regard to your lab notes, I think I'm going to object to the motion as it could be a precedent we don't want to set (you guys having to turn over every note, etc. you generate for every analysis.) However, if the motion is allowed, I'll have some time to produce the information -- probably a week or two. I'll let you know what happens. Thanks for everything. Talk soon,

Tony

From: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 2:32 PM
To: Gulluni, Anthony (WES)
Subject: RE: [REDACTED]

First, I appologize that I didn't reply sooner, but when I checked my email this morning I had nothing new. As for everything else, I am available on Tuesday, June 1st. For every analysis we do have lab notes and/or analytical data (I haven't looked up the lab numbers yet to know exactly what I may have for this case), so it wouldn't be a problem for me to copy them and send/fax you a copy of them. I could get them to you as early as tomorrow morning (May 26) by about 9 or so, or I could wait to actually get a copy of the motion first - it's your call. I'll make sure to double check my email in the morning and get the paperwork in order for whatever you would prefer.

-Sonja

From: Gulluni, Anthony (WES)
Sent: Monday, May 24, 2010 6:08 PM
To: Farak, Sonja (DPH)
Subject: [REDACTED]
Sonja,

Writing to address two things:

1) I was hoping to have you available for [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] trials on June 1, 2010. (I'll send a subpoena soon.)

2) Today I received a motion for notes, writings, etc. relating to your analysis of the drugs involved in these cases. Did you make any notes, etc. during this analysis? Do you routinely make any such notes in other analyses? The motion on this is going to be heard on Wednesday, May 26.

Sorry for the somewhat late notice -- I actually did my subpoenas awhile ago and forgot to send one to you. Thanks,

Anthony D. Gulluni
Assistant District Attorney
Hampden County, Massachusetts